

Basic information	
Reviewer	Name: _____
Searching Themes	Keywords: _____
Search engine	<input type="checkbox"/> Wikipedia <input type="checkbox"/> Baidu Encyclopedia
Access date	Access date: _____
Available entries	1. http:// 2. 3. 4.
Selected entry	
Real-time Update	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Author type	<input type="checkbox"/> Organization <input type="checkbox"/> Individuals
Page views	
Reference number	
External links	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Advertisement	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Assessment of the Quality of the Research Articles	
JAMA	<input type="checkbox"/> Authorship Authors and contributors, their affiliations, and relevant credentials should be provided
	<input type="checkbox"/> Attribution References and sources for all content should be listed clearly, and all relevant copyright information should be noted
	<input type="checkbox"/> Disclosure Website “ownership” should be prominently and fully disclosed, as should any sponsorship, advertising, underwriting, commercial funding arrangements or support, or potential conflicts of interest
	<input type="checkbox"/> Currency Dates when content was posted and updated should be indicated
JAMA scores: _____	
GQS	1. Poor quality, very unlikely to be of any use to patients
	2. Poor quality but some information present, of very limited use to patients
	3. Suboptimal flow, some information covered but important topics missing, somewhat useful to patients
	4. Good quality and flow, most important topics covered, useful to patients
	5. Excellent quality and flow, highly useful to patients
GQS scores: _____	
DISCERN scores	Section 1 Is the publication reliable?
<input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5	1. Are the aims clear?
<input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5	2. Does it achieve its aims?
<input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5	3. Is it relevant?
<input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5	4. Is it clear what sources of information were used to compile the publication (other than the author or producer)?
<input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5	5. Is it clear when the information used or reported in the publication was produced?
<input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5	6. Is it balanced and unbiased?
<input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5	7. Does it provide details of additional sources of support and information?
<input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5	8. Does it refer to areas of uncertainty?
Section 1 scores: _____	

	Section 2 How good is the quality of information regarding treatment choices?
<input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5	9. Does it describe how each treatment works?
<input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5	10. Does it describe the benefits of each treatment?
<input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5	11. Does it describe the risks of each treatment?
<input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5	12. Does it describe what would happen if no treatment is used?
<input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5	13. Does it describe how the treatment choices affect overall quality of life?
<input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5	14. Is it clear that there may be more than 1 possible treatment choice?
<input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5	15. Does it provide support for shared decision making?
Section 2 scores: _____	
	Section 3 Overall rating of the publication
<input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5	16. Based on the answers to all of the above questions, rate the overall quality of the publication as a source of information about treatment choices:
	Low (1-2): Serious or extensive shortcomings
	Moderate (3-4): Potentially important but not serious shortcomings
	High (5): Minimal shortcomings
Section 3 scores: _____	
DISCERN total scores:	