CONSORT-EHEALTH (V 1.6.1) - Submission/Publication Form

The CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist is intended for authors of randomized trials evaluating web-based and Internet-based applications/interventions, including mobile interventions, electronic games (incl multiplayer games), social media, certain telehealth applications, and other interactive and/or networked electronic applications. Some of the items (e.g. all subitems under item 5 - description of the intervention) may also be applicable for other study designs.

The goal of the CONSORT EHEALTH checklist and guideline is to be
a) a guide for reporting for authors of RCTs,
b) to form a basis for appraisal of an ehealth trial (in terms of validity)

CONSORT-EHEALTH items/subitems are MANDATORY reporting items for studies published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research and other journals / scientific societies endorsing the checklist.

Items numbered 1., 2., 3., 4a., 4b etc are original CONSORT or CONSORT-NPT (non-pharmacologic treatment) items.
Items with Roman numerals (i., ii, iii, iv etc.) are CONSORT-EHEALTH extensions/clarifications.

As the CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist is still considered in a formative stage, we would ask that you also RATE ON A SCALE OF 1-5 how important/useful you feel each item is FOR THE PURPOSE OF THE CHECKLIST and reporting guideline (optional).

Mandatory reporting items are marked with a red *.
In the textboxes, either copy & paste the relevant sections from your manuscript into this form - please include any quotes from your manuscript in QUOTATION MARKS,
or answer directly by providing additional information not in the manuscript, or elaborating on why the item was not relevant for this study.

YOUR ANSWERS WILL BE PUBLISHED AS A SUPPLEMENTARY FILE TO YOUR PUBLICATION IN JMIR AND ARE CONSIDERED PART OF YOUR PUBLICATION (IF ACCEPTED).
Please fill in these questions diligently. Information will not be copyedited, so please use proper spelling and grammar, use correct capitalization, and avoid abbreviations.

DO NOT FORGET TO SAVE AS PDF _AND_ CLICK THE SUBMIT BUTTON SO YOUR ANSWERS ARE IN OUR DATABASE !!!

Citation Suggestion (if you append the pdf as Appendix we suggest to cite this paper in the caption):
Eysenbach G, CONSORT-EHEALTH Group
CONSORT-EHEALTH: Improving and Standardizing Evaluation Reports of Web-based and Mobile Health Interventions
J Med Internet Res 2011;13(4):e126
URL: http://www.jmir.org/2011/4/e126/
doi: 10.2196/jmir.1923
PMID: 22209829

* Required

Your name *
First Last

Maisa Ziadni

Primary Affiliation (short), City, Country *
University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA, USA

Your e-mail address *

abc@gmail.com

mziadni@stanford.edu

Title of your manuscript *

Provide the (draft) title of your manuscript.

Efficacy of a Single-Session "Empowered Relief" Zoom-Delivered Group Intervention for Chronic Pain: Randomized Controlled Trial Conducted During COVID-19

Name of your App/Software/Intervention *

If there is a short and a long/alternate name, write the short name first and add the long name in brackets.

Empowered Relief

Evaluated Version (if any)

e.g. "V1", "Release 2017-03-01", "Version 2.0.27913"

Your answer

Language(s) *

What language is the intervention/app in? If multiple languages are available, separate by comma (e.g. "English, French")

English

URL of your Intervention Website or App

e.g. a direct link to the mobile app on app in appstore (itunes, Google Play), or URL of the website. If the intervention is a DVD or hardware, you can also link to an Amazon page.

https://empoweredrelief.stanford.edu

URL of an image/screenshot (optional)

Your answer

Accessibility *

Can an enduser access the intervention presently?

- ○ access is free and open
- ○ access only for special usergroups, not open
- ◉ access is open to everyone, but requires payment/subscription/in-app purchases
- ○ app/intervention no longer accessible
- ○ Other: _____

Primary Medical Indication/Disease/Condition *

e.g. "Stress", "Diabetes", or define the target group in brackets after the condition, e.g. "Autism (Parents of children with)", "Alzheimers (Informal Caregivers of)"

Chronic pain

Primary Outcomes measured in trial *

comma-separated list of primary outcomes reported in the trial

Engagement, satisfaction and preliminary feas

Secondary/other outcomes

Are there any other outcomes the intervention is expected to affect?

Pain indicators (pain catastrophizing, pain intensity, pain bothersomeness, sleep)

Recommended "Dose" *

What do the instructions for users say on how often the app should be used?

- (●) Approximately Daily
- ( ) Approximately Weekly
- ( ) Approximately Monthly
- ( ) Approximately Yearly
- ( ) "as needed"
- ( ) Other: _____

Approx. Percentage of Users (starters) still using the app as recommended after 3 months *

- (●) unknown / not evaluated
- ( ) 0-10%
- ( ) 11-20%
- ( ) 21-30%
- ( ) 31-40%
- ( ) 41-50%
- ( ) 51-60%
- ( ) 61-70%
- ( ) 71%-80%
- ( ) 81-90%
- ( ) 91-100%
- ( ) Other: _____

Overall, was the app/intervention effective? *

- (●) yes: all primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control
- ( ) partly: SOME primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control
- ( ) no statistically significant difference between control and intervention
- ( ) potentially harmful: control was significantly better than intervention in one or more outcomes
- ( ) inconclusive: more research is needed
- ( ) Other: _____

Article Preparation Status/Stage *

At which stage in your article preparation are you currently (at the time you fill in this form)

- ( ) not submitted yet - in early draft status
- (●) not submitted yet - in late draft status, just before submission
- ( ) submitted to a journal but not reviewed yet
- ( ) submitted to a journal and after receiving initial reviewer comments
- ( ) submitted to a journal and accepted, but not published yet
- ( ) published
- ( ) Other: _____

Journal *

If you already know where you will submit this paper (or if it is already submitted), please provide the journal name (if it is not JMIR, provide the journal name under "other")

- ( ) not submitted yet / unclear where I will submit this
- (●) Journal of Medical Internet Research (JMIR)
- ( ) JMIR mHealth and UHealth
- ( ) JMIR Serious Games
- ( ) JMIR Mental Health
- ( ) JMIR Public Health
- ( ) JMIR Formative Research
- ( ) Other JMIR sister journal
- ( ) Other: _____

Is this a full powered effectiveness trial or a pilot/feasibility trial? *

- (●) Pilot/feasibility
- ( ) Fully powered

Manuscript tracking number *

If this is a JMIR submission, please provide the manuscript tracking number under "other" (The ms tracking number can be found in the submission acknowledgement email, or when you login as author in JMIR. If the paper is already published in JMIR, then the ms tracking number is the four-digit number at the end of the DOI, to be found at the bottom of each published article in JMIR)

- (●) no ms number (yet) / not (yet) submitted to / published in JMIR
- ( ) Other: _____

TITLE AND ABSTRACT

1a) TITLE: Identification as a randomized trial in the title

1a) Does your paper address CONSORT item 1a? *

I.e does the title contain the phrase "Randomized Controlled Trial"? (if not, explain the reason under "other")

- (●) yes
- ( ) Other: _____

1a-i) Identify the mode of delivery in the title

Identify the mode of delivery. Preferably use “web-based” and/or “mobile” and/or “electronic game” in the title. Avoid ambiguous terms like “online”, “virtual”, “interactive”. Use “Internet-based” only if Intervention includes non-web-based Internet components (e.g. email), use “computer-based” or “electronic” only if offline products are used. Use “virtual” only in the context of “virtual reality” (3-D worlds). Use “online” only in the context of “online support groups”. Complement or substitute product names with broader terms for the class of products (such as “mobile” or “smart phone” instead of “iphone”), especially if the application runs on different platforms.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	( )	( )	( )	( )	(●)	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 1a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Efficacy of a Single-Session "Empowered Relief" Zoom-Delivered Group Intervention for Chronic Pain: Randomized Controlled Trial Conducted During COVID-19

Note title includes "Zoom-delivered"

1a-ii) Non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title

Mention non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title, if any (e.g., “with telephone support”).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	(●)	( )	( )	( )	( )	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 1a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The intervention is zoom-delivered and does not include any additional non web-based components

1a-iii) Primary condition or target group in the title

Mention primary condition or target group in the title, if any (e.g., “for children with Type I Diabetes”) Example: A Web-based and Mobile Intervention with Telephone Support for Children with Type I Diabetes: Randomized Controlled Trial

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 1a-iii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Efficacy of a Single-Session "Empowered Relief" Zoom-Delivered Group Intervention for Chronic Pain: Randomized Controlled Trial Conducted During COVID-19

Note above includes: "..." for Chronic Pain"

1b) ABSTRACT: Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions

NPT extension: Description of experimental treatment, comparator, care providers, centers, and blinding status.

1b-i) Key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Mention key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the abstract. If possible, also mention theories and principles used for designing the site. Keep in mind the needs of systematic reviewers and indexers by including important synonyms. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 1b-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Chronic pain is a health problem with substantial disability, emotional, financial, and societal burden. Pain-cognitive behavioral therapy has established as an evidence-based treatment, with significant patient burden including healthcare cost, travel, multiple-sessions, in addition lack of access in remote and rural areas. A previously developed and efficacious SSI “Empowered Relief” has particular salience and fills an urgent clinical need during COVID-19. However, key questions remain about the efficacy of online-delivered ER.

In this trial, the primary goal is to pilot test the comparative efficacy of a single-session videoconference-delivered Empowered Relief (ER) group to a waitlist control (WLC) among patients with chronic pain. We hypothesized that ER would be superior to WLC for reductions in pain catastrophizing, pain intensity, and other pain-related outcomes at 1-3 months post-treatment.

Note above includes:
(1) "Pain-cognitive behavioral therapy" and "based on CBT principles "
(2) "ER: a single-session videoconference-delivered Empowered Relief group" and "a waitlist control (WLC) among individuals with chronic pain"

1b-ii) Level of human involvement in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Clarify the level of human involvement in the abstract, e.g., use phrases like “fully automated” vs. “therapist/nurse/care provider/physician-assisted” (mention number and expertise of providers involved, if any). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 1b-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes: The abstract methods includes this phrase "The primary goal is to pilot test the comparative efficacy of a single-session videoconference-delivered Empowered Relief (ER)..."

1b-iii) Open vs. closed, web-based (self-assessment) vs. face-to-face assessments in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic or a closed online user group (closed usergroup trial), and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment). Clearly say if outcomes were self-assessed through questionnaires (as common in web-based trials). Note: In traditional offline trials, an open trial (open-label trial) is a type of clinical trial in which both the researchers and participants know which treatment is being administered. To avoid confusion, use “blinded” or “unblinded” to indicated the level of blinding instead of “open”, as “open” in web-based trials usually refers to “open access” (i.e. participants can self-enrol). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Methods: We conducted a randomized controlled trial involving an online sample of adults (N=104) aged 18-80 years with self-reported chronic pain. Participants were randomized (1:1) to one of the two unblinded study groups: ER (n=50) or a WLC (n=54).

Above includes the following key phrases "online sample" and "unblinded study groups"

1b-iv) RESULTS section in abstract must contain use data

Report number of participants enrolled/assessed in each group, the use/uptake of the intervention (e.g., attrition/adherence metrics, use over time, number of logins etc.), in addition to primary/secondary outcomes. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iv?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The analytic dataset included participants (18% clinic patients, 82% community) who completed at least one study surveys: ER (n=50) and WLC (n=51).

Results: Participants (N=101) were 69.3% (70/101) female, with mean age of 49.76 years (SD 13.90; range 24.00-78.00); 32.7% (33/101) had an undergraduate degree, with self-reported chronic pain 3 months. Participants reported high engagement (94%), high satisfaction with ER (mean 8.26, SD 1.57; range 0-10), and Zoom-platform (92%). For the between-groups factor, ER was superior to WLC for all primary and secondary outcomes at 3 -months post-treatment (highest P=.0001), and between-groups Cohen d effect sizes ranged from 0.45 to 0.79, indicating superiority was of moderate to substantial clinical importance. At 3 months, clinically meaningful PCS reductions were found for ER but not for WLC (ER: PCS, -8.72, 42.25% reduction; WLC: PCS -2.25, 11.13% reduction). ER resulted in significant improvements in pain intensity, sleep disturbance, and clinical improvements in pain bothersomeness."

1b-v) CONCLUSIONS/DISCUSSION in abstract for negative trials

Conclusions/Discussions in abstract for negative trials: Discuss the primary outcome - if the trial is negative (primary outcome not changed), and the intervention was not used, discuss whether negative results are attributable to lack of uptake and discuss reasons. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 1b-v?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Conclusions: Zoom-delivered ER had high participant satisfaction and very high engagement. Among adults with chronic pain, this single-session, Zoom-delivered, skills-based pain class resulted in clinically significant improvement across a range of pain-related outcomes and sustained at 3 months. Online delivery of ER could allow greater accessibility of home-based pain treatment and could address the inconveniences and barriers faced by patients when attempting to receive in-person care."

INTRODUCTION

2a) In INTRODUCTION: Scientific background and explanation of rationale

2a-i) Problem and the type of system/solution

Describe the problem and the type of system/solution that is object of the study: intended as stand-alone intervention vs. incorporated in broader health care program? Intended for a particular patient population? Goals of the intervention, e.g., being more cost-effective to other interventions, replace or complement other solutions? (Note: Details about the intervention are provided in “Methods” under 5)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 2a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Building on the limited literature on web-based SSIs for chronic pain, we aimed to evaluate a Zoom-delivered version of a previously developed and efficacious, skills-based, behavioral medicine, SSI “Empowered Relief” (ER) [11, 35]."
"Online delivery of ER has not been tested, particularly in mixed-etiology chronic pain, and the COVID context underscores the salience of providing effective home-based chronic pain care."

2a-ii) Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system

Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system that is the object of the study (be sure to discuss the use of similar systems for other conditions/diagnoses, if appropiate), motivation for the study, i.e. what are the reasons for and what is the context for this specific study, from which stakeholder viewpoint is the study performed, potential impact of findings [2]. Briefly justify the choice of the comparator.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 2a-ii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"SSIs that have been further optimized via online or web-based delivery have demonstrated feasibility and efficacy similar to face-to-face interventions [11, 36, 37] and include interventions for multiple sclerosis pain [38], disordered gambling [39], and adolescent mental health [40-42]. In addition to reducing treatment burdens, online and web-based SSIs have the vital advantage of the ease of scalability, as they can be completed by patients in any location [37]. Effective web and digital-based SSIs would eliminate or reduce many of the existing barriers to treatment, such as cost, lack of trained therapists, and insurance limits on the length of treatment. However, despite the promise of SSIs, there remains a need for rigorously designed and randomized controlled studies to establish their efficacy [43]."

2b) In INTRODUCTION: Specific objectives or hypotheses

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 2b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Extending this work to the digital platform, in the present study, we conducted a parallel-group, randomized (1:1), comparative efficacy trial to assess the impact of a single-session videoconference-delivered ER group pain relief skills class versus a Waitlist Control (WLC). We hypothesized that at 3-months posttreatment: (1) ER would be superior to WLC for reductions in pain catastrophizing- an index of pain coping; (2) ER would be superior to WLC for reductions in pain intensity, bothersomeness, and sleep disturbance; and (3) ER would be superior to WLC for reductions in anxiety, depression, and physical function."

METHODS

3a) Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"This study was a parallel-group, randomized, clinical trial comparing Empowered Relief (ER), a 2-hour single-session videoconference-delivered class, to a Waitlist Control. Enrolled participants (N=104) aged 18-80 years with mixed chronic pain etiology were randomized (1:1) to one of the two study arms."

"This study was conducted between August 2020 and February 2021."

3b) Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable to our study

3b-i) Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes

Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes: ehealth systems are often dynamic systems. A description of changes to methods therefore also includes important changes made on the intervention or comparator during the trial (e.g., major bug fixes or changes in the functionality or content) (5-iii) and other "unexpected events" that may have influenced study design such as staff changes, system failures/downtimes, etc. [2].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 3b-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable to our study

4a) Eligibility criteria for participants

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Participants who met initial eligibility were then contacted by research staff to confirm study eligibility per the inclusion/exclusion criteria provided in Table 1"

4a-i) Computer / Internet literacy

Computer / Internet literacy is often an implicit "de facto" eligibility criterion - this should be explicitly clarified.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	◉	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 4a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Internet and computer literacy were implicit de facto eligibility criteria."

In Table 1, inclusion criteria: "Ability to attend a one-time online class (if assigned) and complete online surveys."

4a-ii) Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments:

Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments: Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic, and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment), i.e., to what degree got the study team to know the participant. In online-only trials, clarify if participants were quasi-anonymous and whether having multiple identities was possible or whether technical or logistical measures (e.g., cookies, email confirmation, phone calls) were used to detect/prevent these.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 4a-ii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Participants were recruited remotely through targeted emails to lists of patients who agreed to be contacted for research purposes through two databases: (1) the Stanford's Collaborative Health Outcomes Information Registry (CHOIR) comprised of patients who have received care at the Stanford Pain Management Center (a tertiary referral pain clinic in Redwood City, CA); and (2) the Stanford Systems Neuroscience and Pain Lab (SNAPL) database of individuals who have chronic pain."
"all study procedures occurred remotely and electronically, and no in-person visits were required "

4a-iii) Information giving during recruitment

Information given during recruitment. Specify how participants were briefed for recruitment and in the informed consent procedures (e.g., publish the informed consent documentation as appendix, see also item X26), as this information may have an effect on user self-selection, user expectation and may also bias results.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 4a-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Eligible and interested participants were invited to participate in a pain-skills treatment class compared to a Waitlist Control and completed an electronic informed consent (eConsent; see Multimedia Appendix 1 for the study consent form)"

4b) Settings and locations where the data were collected

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The intervention was Zoom-delivered [48] and all study procedures occurred remotely and electronically, and no in-person visits were required."

4b-i) Report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires

Clearly report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires (as common in web-based trials) or otherwise.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 4b-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Data collection consisted of electronically collected participant-reported measures across 4 phases of the study: screening, pre-treatment, treatment, and post-treatment."

4b-ii) Report how institutional affiliations are displayed

Report how institutional affiliations are displayed to potential participants [on ehealth media], as affiliations with prestigious hospitals or universities may affect volunteer rates, use, and reactions with regards to an intervention.(Not a required item – describe only if this may bias results)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 4b-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

There were no institutional affiliations associated with this study.

5) The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered

5-i) Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners

Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners [6] (if authors/evaluators are owners or developer of the software, this needs to be declared in a “Conflict of interest” section or mentioned elsewhere in the manuscript).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	◉	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 5-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Dr. Darnall developed the original in-person delivered Empowered Relief intervention, but does not have a conflict of interest to disclose.

5-ii) Describe the history/development process

Describe the history/development process of the application and previous formative evaluations (e.g., focus groups, usability testing), as these will have an impact on adoption/use rates and help with interpreting results.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 5-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This exploratory study is the first to test the Zoom-delivered version of ER class.

5-iii) Revisions and updating

Revisions and updating. Clearly mention the date and/or version number of the application/intervention (and comparator, if applicable) evaluated, or describe whether the intervention underwent major changes during the evaluation process, or whether the development and/or content was “frozen” during the trial. Describe dynamic components such as news feeds or changing content which may have an impact on the replicability of the intervention (for unexpected events see item 3b).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 5-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This exploratory study is the first to test the Zoom-delivered version of ER class.

5-iv) Quality assurance methods

Provide information on quality assurance methods to ensure accuracy and quality of information provided [1], if applicable.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 5-iv?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable as the class material is a standard slide deck.

5-v) Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used

Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used. Replicability (i.e., other researchers should in principle be able to replicate the study) is a hallmark of scientific reporting.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 5-v?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable as this was an internet-delivered intervention

5-vi) Digital preservation

Digital preservation: Provide the URL of the application, but as the intervention is likely to change or disappear over the course of the years; also make sure the intervention is archived (Internet Archive, webcitation.org, and/or publishing the source code or screenshots/videos alongside the article). As pages behind login screens cannot be archived, consider creating demo pages which are accessible without login.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 5-vi?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Intervention is delivered live through Zoom-platform

5-vii) Access

Access: Describe how participants accessed the application, in what setting/context, if they had to pay (or were paid) or not, whether they had to be a member of specific group. If known, describe how participants obtained “access to the platform and Internet” [1]. To ensure access for editors/reviewers/readers, consider to provide a “backdoor” login account or demo mode for reviewers/readers to explore the application (also important for archiving purposes, see vi).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 5-vii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"TThe intervention was Zoom-delivered [44], and details of the Zoom session were emailed to participants before the scheduled class time."

5-viii) Mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework

Describe mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework [6] used to design them (instructional strategy [1], behaviour change techniques, persuasive features, etc., see e.g., [7, 8] for terminology). This includes an in-depth description of the content (including where it is coming from and who developed it) [1]," whether [and how] it is tailored to individual circumstances and allows users to track their progress and receive feedback" [6]. This also includes a description of communication delivery channels and – if computer-mediated communication is a component – whether communication was synchronous or asynchronous [6]. It also includes information on presentation strategies [1], including page design principles, average amount of text on pages, presence of hyperlinks to other resources, etc. [1].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 5-viii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Zoom platform was utilized to deliver the ER classes, and instructors shared their PowerPoint presentation slides throughout the class. Class participants were encouraged to ask questions anytime through the Zoom chat box and unmute themselves to participate. Zoom is password protected and hosted within the firewalled Stanford University School of Medicine and Stanford HealthCare systems."

"ER was developed in 2013, with pilot data [35] showing a reduction in pain catastrophizing 1-month post-treatment, despite comorbid emotional disorders. Notably, an NIH-funded, 3-arm, randomized controlled trial [11, 36] conducted in 263 individuals with chronic low back pain demonstrated that 3-months post-treatment, the ER class was equally effective to an 8-week pain-CBT intervention and was superior to a health education control class in reducing pain catastrophizing and pain intensity. ER 'compresses' key elements and skills from pain CBT and mindfulness into a single-session 2-hour class [11, 33, 35, 36]. The class is didactic, delivered with a standardized instructor slide deck and manual. Participants engage in experiential exercises, self-tailor the content by completing a Personalized Plan for Empowered Relief and receive an MP3 audiofile. The participants can download the audiofile from a secure online storage platform onto their various devices (mobile, iPad) for convenience. Participants are encouraged to practice the learned skills daily."

5-ix) Describe use parameters

Describe use parameters (e.g., intended "doses" and optimal timing for use). Clarify what instructions or recommendations were given to the user, e.g., regarding timing, frequency, heaviness of use, if any, or was the intervention used ad libitum.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 5-ix?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Participants are encouraged to practice their skills daily."

5-x) Clarify the level of human involvement

Clarify the level of human involvement (care providers or health professionals, also technical assistance) in the e-intervention or as co-intervention (detail number and expertise of professionals involved, if any, as well as “type of assistance offered, the timing and frequency of the support, how it is initiated, and the medium by which the assistance is delivered”. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of human involvement required for the trial, and the level of human involvement required for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 5-x?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Human involvement included enrollment screening, conduct of the zoom-delivered class, and any participant outreach to study staff.

"Participants who met initial eligibility were then contacted by research staff to confirm study eligibility per the inclusion/exclusion criteria provided in Table 1. Internet and computer literacy were implicit de facto eligibility criteria. If interested participants indicated that they had never used Zoom-platform, the research coordinator contacted them by phone to schedule an individual meeting; their skill to participate in the online class would increase due to being more familiar with the Zoom platform and navigating the tool in a supervised setting. Of the 9 participants who indicated that they had never used Zoom, none requested to schedule an individual meeting. "

5-xi) Report any prompts/reminders used

Report any prompts/reminders used: Clarify if there were prompts (letters, emails, phone calls, SMS) to use the application, what triggered them, frequency etc. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of prompts/reminders required for the trial, and the level of prompts/reminders for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 5-xi? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Participants received email prompts to complete study surveys at designated time points.

5-xii) Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support)

Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support): Clearly state any interventions that are provided in addition to the targeted eHealth intervention, as ehealth intervention may not be designed as stand-alone intervention. This includes training sessions and support [1]. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of training required for the trial, and the level of training for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 5-xii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"If interested participants indicated that they had never used zoom-platform, the research coordinator contacted them by phone to schedule an individual meeting to describe the zoom platform functions, allow the participant to practice navigating the tool in a supervised setting, and increase their skill and to participate in a video class."

"The class instructors were doctoral level clinical psychologists certified by Stanford University [54] to deliver the intervention."

6a) Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they were assessed

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Data collection consisted of electronically collected participant-reported measures across 4 phases of the study: screening, pre-treatment, treatment, and post-treatment. For both groups, post-treatment survey collection was conducted at 2-weeks and 1-3 months post-treatment. The ER group completed an additional brief survey 1-week post-treatment to assess participant satisfaction with the intervention and the Zoom platform. Table 2 shows the data collection time-points for both groups."

6a-i) Online questionnaires: describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed

If outcomes were obtained through online questionnaires, describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed [9].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 6a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

All measures used are validated and used in online research.

6a-ii) Describe whether and how “use” (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored

Describe whether and how “use” (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored (logins, logfile analysis, etc.). Use/adoption metrics are important process outcomes that should be reported in any ehealth trial.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 6a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

Use was defined as attendance of the 2-hr class.

6a-iii) Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained

Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained (e.g., through emails, feedback forms, interviews, focus groups).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 6a-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

We obtained quantitative feedback through a satisfaction survey. No qualitative feedback was collected.

6b) Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No changes to trial outcomes after trial commenced.

7a) How sample size was determined

NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care provides or centers was addressed

7a-i) Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size

Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 7a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The enrolled sample size ensured significant statistical power (80%) to detect medium-large treatment effects between the two treatment groups. The enrolled sample size accounts for 4% attrition in each study arm. Of the 104 enrolled participants (ER=50, WLC=54), intent-to-treat analyses were used to analyze the data for 101 participants (ER=50, WLC=51) who met study criteria and completed at least one survey."

7b) When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 7b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable, no interim analyses were conducted.

8a) Method used to generate the random allocation sequence

NPT: When applicable, how care providers were allocated to each trial group

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 8a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Participants were randomized 1:1 (no blocking applied) and allocated to one of two study arms: (1) Empowered Relief or (2) Waitlist Control. REDCap was used to apply an automatic

and blinded randomization program and ensure equal allocation to both groups."

8b) Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 8b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable, no restrictions were applied. .

9) Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers), describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 9? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

None - the group assignment was generated electronically at point of enrollment.

10) Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 10? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"After informed consent was obtained, study participants were randomized one-to-one using a REDCap (Research Electronic Data Capture) Cloud random number generator and allocated to the study group. All study procedures were completed remotely, and no in-person visits were required."

11a) If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those assessing outcomes) and how

NPT: Whether or not administering co-interventions were blinded to group assignment

11a-i) Specify who was blinded, and who wasn't

Specify who was blinded, and who wasn't. Usually, in web-based trials it is not possible to blind the participants [1, 3] (this should be clearly acknowledged), but it may be possible to blind outcome assessors, those doing data analysis or those administering co-interventions (if any).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 11a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Participants were not blinded to the study arm, given the nature of the Waitlist Control. Statisticians performed blinded analysis of data sets that were randomly labeled as group 1 and group 2, with statistician unblinding occurring only after posttreatment month 3 data were analyzed. The study coordinator (LGC) was unblinded to individual study arm assignments and was not involved in any data analyses."

11a-ii) Discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the "intervention of interest" and which one was the "comparator"

Informed consent procedures (4a-ii) can create biases and certain expectations - discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the "intervention of interest" and which one was the "comparator".

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 11a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Participants were unblinded due to the obvious nature of the ER group versus Usual Care.

"Although the WLC group did not receive any study intervention, participants were informed at the outset that they would be offered the ER treatment class after study completion at 3 months."

11b) If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions

(this item is usually not relevant for ehealth trials as it refers to similarity of a placebo or sham intervention to a active medication/intervention)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 11b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Our comparator was a waitlist group which instructed patients to resume usual care.

"The study used a Waitlist Control (WLC) group because the ER class online delivery is a new approach that has not been previously tested [46, 47]. During the screening phone call, participants randomized to the WLC were advised to continue any ongoing clinical care."

12a) Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes

NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care providers or centers was addressed

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"For primary analyses, we employed a between-within mixed design using PROC GLIMMIX in SAS9.4® to assess the effect of treatment, time and treatment × time effects, where time represented the within-subjects factor and treatment was specified as a between-subjects factor. Within subjects' dependency was modeled by specifying participant as a random effect with a heterogenous compound symmetry covariance structure. Similar analyses were used to examine the treatment effect for all secondary and tertiary outcomes at each post-treatment month. Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis was used to investigate the causal effect of the treatment. All statistical significances were applied at the two-sided 0.05 level with Tukey-Kramer adjustment for multiple testing. Cohen's d effect sizes for the between-subjects' factor was calculated from the between-subjects' F statistic adjusting for sample size. Responder analyses were conducted by calculating the proportion of participants with 15% (mild), 30% (moderate), and 50% (substantial) improvement from baseline for pain-related outcomes. All data processing and statistical analysis were performed on the SAS9.4® (SAS Base and SAS/STAT) platform."

12a-i) Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values

Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values: Not all participants will use the intervention/comparator as intended and attrition is typically high in ehealth trials. Specify how participants who did not use the application or dropped out from the trial were treated in the statistical analysis (a complete case analysis is strongly discouraged, and simple imputation techniques such as LOCF may also be problematic [4]).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 12a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis was used to investigate the causal effect of the treatment."

12b) Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No additional subgroup or adjusted analyses were conducted.

X26) REB/IRB Approval and Ethical Considerations [recommended as subheading under "Methods"] (not a CONSORT item)

X26-i) Comment on ethics committee approval

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem X26-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Research procedures were approved by the Stanford Institutional Review Board (IRB)."

x26-ii) Outline informed consent procedures

Outline informed consent procedures e.g., if consent was obtained offline or online (how? Checkbox, etc.?), and what information was provided (see 4a-ii). See [6] for some items to be included in informed consent documents.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem X26-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"After informed consent was obtained, study participants randomized..."

X26-iii) Safety and security procedures

Safety and security procedures, incl. privacy considerations, and any steps taken to reduce the likelihood or detection of harm (e.g., education and training, availability of a hotline)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	◉	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem X26-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The consent form offers details risks and benefits, security procedures, and privacy considerations (it is included in the submission).

RESULTS

13a) For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and were analysed for the primary outcome

NPT: The number of care providers or centers performing the intervention in each group and the number of patients treated by each care provider in each center

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"One hundred and four participants were enrolled and randomized, and 101 participants completed baseline surveys (ER=50, WLC= 51). A total of 49 participants received the Empowered Relief intervention, of whom 2 were lost to follow-up due to feeling unwell or being too busy to complete follow-up surveys. A final sample of 94 participants provided complete follow-up data. Nearly 94% (47/50) of participants in ER group and 86% (44/51) of participants in WLC group completed their 3-month follow-up surveys (end of study)."

13b) For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13b? (NOTE: Preferably, this is shown in a CONSORT flow diagram) *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"A total of 49 participants received the Empowered Relief intervention, of whom 2 were lost to follow-up due to feeling unwell or being too busy to complete follow-up surveys."

We have no additional data on participants lost to follow-up.

13b-i) Attrition diagram

Strongly recommended: An attrition diagram (e.g., proportion of participants still logging in or using the intervention/comparator in each group plotted over time, similar to a survival curve) or other figures or tables demonstrating usage/dose/engagement.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 13b-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript or cite the figure number if applicable (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We had minimal attrition in the study, and no data on participants lost to follow-up beyond the intervention, and the two who did not complete baseline measures.

"A total of 49 participants received the Empowered Relief intervention, of whom 2 were lost to follow-up due to feeling unwell or being too busy to complete follow-up surveys. A final sample of 94 participants provided complete follow-up data."

14a) Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"This study was conducted between August 2020 and February 2021."

14a-i) Indicate if critical “secular events” fell into the study period

Indicate if critical “secular events” fell into the study period, e.g., significant changes in Internet resources available or “changes in computer hardware or Internet delivery resources”

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 14a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable to the current study.

14b) Why the trial ended or was stopped (early)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable to the study.

15) A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group

NPT: When applicable, a description of care providers (case volume, qualification, expertise, etc.) and centers (volume) in each group

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 15? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, this is presented in tabular form in the manuscript (Tables 3 and 4).

15-i) Report demographics associated with digital divide issues

In ehealth trials it is particularly important to report demographics associated with digital divide issues, such as age, education, gender, social-economic status, computer/Internet/ehealth literacy of the participants, if known.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	◉	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 15-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

None of the demographic data were predictive.

16) For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was by original assigned groups

16-i) Report multiple “denominators” and provide definitions

Report multiple “denominators” and provide definitions: Report N’s (and effect sizes) “across a range of study participation [and use] thresholds” [1], e.g., N exposed, N consented, N used more than x times, N used more than y weeks, N participants “used” the intervention/comparator at specific pre-defined time points of interest (in absolute and relative numbers per group). Always clearly define “use” of the intervention.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 16-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"A total of 49 participants received the Empowered Relief intervention, of whom 2 were lost to follow-up due to feeling unwell or being too busy to complete follow-up surveys. A final sample of 94 participants provided complete follow-up data. Nearly 94% (47/50) of participants in ER group and 86% (44/51) of participants in WLC group completed their 3-month follow-up surveys (end of study). "

16-ii) Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat

Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat, secondary analyses could include comparing only “users”, with the appropriate caveats that this is no longer a randomized sample (see 18-i).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 16-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis was used to investigate the causal effect of the treatment."

17a) For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its precision (such as 95% confidence interval)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Pain Catastrophizing
We observed a significant treatment effect (P=.005) on pain catastrophizing; on average, the ER group had lower PCS scores compared to the WLC group (Cohen d=0.50). Separately, we observed a time effect; average PCS significantly decreased over time for both study groups (time effect, P<.001). Most importantly, the decrease was greater for ER versus WLC (group X time effect, P<.001). At post-treatment 3-months, clinically meaningful reductions in PCS were found for ER but not for WLC (ER: PCS, -8.72, 42.25% reduction; WLC: PCS -2.25, 11.13% reduction). Figure 3 displays the average PCS at 3-months by study arm, and Table 5 displays the between-group comparisons for PCS at baseline and post-treatment months. ER was superior to WLC (difference=-6.05; P<.01; 95% CI -9.92 to -2.18) at 3-months post-treatment.

The ER group effect size as 0.89, with combined results showing a large effect size and moderate clinical importance. As much as 62% (31/50) of ER and 23.5% (12/51) of WLC participants achieved a 30% or more reduction in PCS. For ER, 46% (23/50) achieved over 50% or more in PCS reduction, while for WLC, 11.8% (6/51) reached that threshold.
Secondary Outcomes

See Results section for the other outcomes. The file was too large to include all the outcomes here.

17a-i) Presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use

In addition to primary/secondary (clinical) outcomes, the presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use (dose, exposure) and their operational definitions is critical. This does not only refer to metrics of attrition (13-b) (often a binary variable), but also to more continuous exposure metrics such as “average session length”. These must be accompanied by a technical description how a metric like a “session” is defined (e.g., timeout after idle time) [1] (report under item 6a).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 17a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The intervention was Zoom-delivered and participants were encouraged to use the skills they learned daily.

17b) For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable to this manuscript.

18) Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 18? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable to this project.

18-i) Subgroup analysis of comparing only users

A subgroup analysis of comparing only users is not uncommon in ehealth trials, but if done, it must be stressed that this is a self-selected sample and no longer an unbiased sample from a randomized trial (see 16-iii).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	◉	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 18-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

In the limitations section, we include:

"First, given the participant population was on average of higher socioeconomic status, as evidenced by their average education and income levels, the results may not generalize to populations of different demographics. No data was available on medical diagnoses, current prescriptions or OTC medication use, or concurrent treatments for either study group."

19) All important harms or unintended effects in each group

(for specific guidance see CONSORT for harms)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 19? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No harmful effects resulted from the class.

19-i) Include privacy breaches, technical problems

Include privacy breaches, technical problems. This does not only include physical “harm” to participants, but also incidents such as perceived or real privacy breaches [1], technical problems, and other unexpected/unintended incidents. “Unintended effects” also includes unintended positive effects [2].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 19-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No privacy breaches or technical problems were observed / reported.

19-ii) Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers

Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers, if available, on strengths and shortcomings of the application, especially if they point to unintended/unexpected effects or uses. This includes (if available) reasons for why people did or did not use the application as intended by the developers.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 19-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The study design was not designed to include qualitative data.

DISCUSSION

22) Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence

NPT: In addition, take into account the choice of the comparator, lack of or partial blinding, and unequal expertise of care providers or centers in each group

22-i) Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use)

Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	◉	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 22-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"ER demonstrated high feasibility as indexed by high enrollment rate and excellent engagement; 94% of participants in the ER group attended the class and completed all surveys through the 3-month duration of the study. The enrollment rate was 53.1% (104/196), which is five times higher than what we observe in other studies [36, 66] and stand as an index of both the inclusivity of the study protocol (few exclusionary criteria; all types of chronic pain) and the convenience of a single-session pain treatment study. Importantly, participants reported high overall satisfaction with the class (>80%, Ms 8.26–8.77), the usefulness of presented information, and the likelihood to use the skills and information learned. Also, participants reported high satisfaction with operating the Zoom-platform (92% satisfaction), in addition to high satisfaction engaging with class material, other attendees, and the class instructor. Importantly, of the nine participants who indicated they had never used Zoom-platform during screening, none requested additional or individual training with the platform. These metrics indicate superior feasibility, accessibility, and engagement with the Zoom-delivered Empowered Relief intervention."

"Although a growing body of research exists on the efficacy of ER for chronic and acute pain management [32, 35, 36] (Ziadni et al, unpublished data), the use of Zoom as a platform to deliver behavioral medicine for chronic pain—particularly single-session treatment-- remains novel and understudied. In addition, the extant literature on digital behavioral health research has reported participant treatment engagement rates ranging from 20% to 60% [32, 73-75]. Strikingly, the current trial evidenced a 94% (47/50) engagement rate in the treatment arm. These results also highlight the public interest in the online delivery of behavioral medicine interventions as a home-based chronic pain treatment modality. Combined with high participant engagement data and high satisfaction with utilizing Zoom-platform, these data extend prior work [17-24] supporting the utility, user satisfaction, and efficacy of videoconference-delivered interventions for chronic pain."

22-ii) Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research

Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	◉	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 22-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Replication studies are indicated with participants with more demographic variability, over a longer follow-up period."

20) Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses

20-i) Typical limitations in ehealth trials

Typical limitations in ehealth trials: Participants in ehealth trials are rarely blinded. Ehealth trials often look at a multiplicity of outcomes, increasing risk for a Type I error. Discuss biases due to non-use of the intervention/usability issues, biases through informed consent procedures, unexpected events.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 20-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Several findings should be qualified within a number of limitations. First, given the participant population was on average of higher socioeconomic status, as evidenced by their average education and income levels, the results may not generalize to populations of different demographics. No data was available on medical diagnoses, current prescriptions or OTC medication use, or concurrent treatments for either study group. Correction for multiple comparisons was not applied, and risk for false-positive findings exists. Also, all data were self-report, and we did not control for receipt of medical care. Although in the light of COVID-19 restrictions, participants were likely receiving their care via online delivery as well. In addition, the pain intensity measure used was a 5-point NRS scale, as opposed to the 11-point NRS [76] typically used in research, limiting variability and may have obscured treatment effects and clinically meaningful findings. Finally, participants were unblinded to study group assignment. However, given ER is low-risk and low burden, placebo effects are less concerning. Replication studies are indicated with participants with more demographic variability over a longer follow-up period. Finally, future studies should characterize pain diagnoses and pain types."

21) Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings

NPT: External validity of the trial findings according to the intervention, comparators, patients, and care providers or centers involved in the trial

21-i) Generalizability to other populations

Generalizability to other populations: In particular, discuss generalizability to a general Internet population, outside of a RCT setting, and general patient population, including applicability of the study results for other organizations

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 21-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"First, given the participant population was on average of higher socioeconomic status, as evidenced by their average education and income levels, the results may not generalize to populations of different demographics."

21-ii) Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting

Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting (e.g., prompts/reminders, more human involvement, training sessions or other co-interventions) and what impact the omission of these elements could have on use, adoption, or outcomes if the intervention is applied outside of a RCT setting.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	◉	○	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 21-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

None - the study was optimized to be delivered online and all study procedures completed online with no in-person contact needed. Staff involvement was minimal.

OTHER INFORMATION

23) Registration number and name of trial registry

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 23? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Registered on CT.gov: NCT 04546685

24) Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 24? *

Cite a Multimedia Appendix, other reference, or copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Registered on CT.gov though this is not a full protocol. Protocol is under review elsewhere (Trials journal) since October of 2020.

25) Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 25? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable to this study.

X27) Conflicts of Interest (not a CONSORT item)

X27-i) State the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated

In addition to the usual declaration of interests (financial or otherwise), also state the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated, i.e., state if the authors/evaluators are distinct from or identical with the developers/sponsors of the intervention.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem X27-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No conflicts of interest to disclose.

About the CONSORT EHEALTH checklist

As a result of using this checklist, did you make changes in your manuscript? *

- ○ yes, major changes
- ◉ yes, minor changes
- ○ no

What were the most important changes you made as a result of using this checklist?

Included more details that has been omitted.

How much time did you spend on going through the checklist INCLUDING making changes in your manuscript *

6 hours to complete the checklist.

As a result of using this checklist, do you think your manuscript has improved? *

- ◉ yes
- ○ no
- ○ Other:

Would you like to become involved in the CONSORT EHEALTH group?

This would involve for example becoming involved in participating in a workshop and writing an "Explanation and Elaboration" document

- ○ yes
- ◉ no

Other:

Clear selection

Any other comments or questions on CONSORT EHEALTH

Helpful process, but time consuming

STOP - Save this form as PDF before you click submit

To generate a record that you filled in this form, we recommend to generate a PDF of this page (on a Mac, simply select "print" and then select "print as PDF") before you submit it.

When you submit your (revised) paper to JMIR, please upload the PDF as supplementary file.

Don't worry if some text in the textboxes is cut off, as we still have the complete information in our database. Thank you!

Final step: Click submit !

Click submit so we have your answers in our database!

Submit

Never submit passwords through Google Forms.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. Report Abuse - Terms of Service - Privacy Policy

Your response is too large. Try shortening some answers.

Google Forms